;9047394200

BAKER & BAKER

THOMAS M. BAKER

Thomas M Baker P.L.C. Attorneys At Law BUILDING NUMBER 10 5050 NORTH EIGHTH PLACE PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85014

TELEPHONE (602) 279-1644 FACSIMILE (602) 263-9028

1.1

September 23, 2009

Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver Re:

Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; Ula Makika, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; Philip A. Kenner.

2:09-cv-00142-PHX-SRB (US District Court, District of Arizona)

Dear Member of Little Isle IV, LLC:

You are listed as a member in Little Isle Iv, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company. As you are aware, Mr. Philip Kenner has filed a lawsuit in Phoenix, Arizona against Mr. Kenneth A. Jowdy. Mr. Kenner filed this lawsuit on behalf of himself as an individual, and on behalf of Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. The case was initially filed in the Maricopa County Superior Court and subsequently removed by the Defendant to the United States District Court, District of Arizona, where it has been assigned to the Honorable Susan R. Bolton. The case number is CV09-014PHX-SRB. To date, Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC have been represented by Kevin R. Harper, HARPER LAW PLC and Jonathan A. Dessaules, DESSAULES LAW GROUP. Mr. Jowdy is represented by Brian C. Lake and James A. Ahlers at the law firm of PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. The gist of the lawsuit is to recover certain monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy by Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Mr. Kenner estimates the total amount of monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy which have not been repaid to be approximately \$5,000,000.00. This is the estimated principal only. exclusive of any accrued interest. In summary, Mr. Jowdy denies that the monies were loans but rather characterizes them as investments. Mr. Jody also asserts that even if the monies were loans, the Statute of Frauds (monies loaned/credit extended without a writing in excess of \$250,000.00; A.R.S. §44-101) and/or applicable Statute of Limitation (A.R.S. §12-543) precludes their recovery. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that the promissory note at issue contains his

Recently, Messrs. Harper and Dessaules moved to withdraw as the attorneys of record for Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Consequently, Mr. Kenner desires to retain the undersigned to represent Himself, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC in these proceedings in place and stead of Messrs. Harper and Dessaules. In order for the undersigned to

represent Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC, this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver has been prepared for you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned.

The Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, Rule 42, ER 1.13 and 1.7 state:

ER 1.13. Organization as Client

- (a) A lawyer employed or retained by an organization represents the organization acting through its duly authorized constituents.
- (b) If a lawyer for an organization knows that an officer, employee or other person associated with the organization is engaged in action, intends to act or refuses to act in a matter related to the representation that is a violation of a legal obligation to the organization, or a violation of law that reasonably might be imputed to the organization, and that is likely to result in substantial injury to the organization, the lawyer shall proceed as is reasonably necessary in the best interest of the organization. Unless the lawyer reasonably believes that it is not necessary in the best interest of the organization to do so, the lawyer shall refer the matter to higher authority in the organization, including, if warranted by the circumstances, to the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization as determined by applicable law.
- (c) Except as provided in paragraph (d), if
- (1) despite the lawyer's efforts in accordance with paragraph (b) the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization insists upon or fails to address in a timely and appropriate manner an action or refusal to act, that is clearly a violation of law, and
- (2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the violation is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the organization,

then the lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation whether or not Rule 1.6 permits such disclosure, but only if and to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to prevent substantial injury to the organization.

(d) Paragraph (c) shall not apply with respect to information relating to a lawyer's representation of an organization to investigate an alleged violation of law, or to defend the organization or an officer, employee or other constituent associated with the organization against a claim arising out of an alleged violation of law.

- (e) A lawyer who reasonably believes that he or she has been discharged because of the lawyer's actions taken pursuant to paragraphs (b) or (c), or who withdraws under circumstances that require or permit the lawyer to take action under either of those paragraphs, shall proceed as the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to assure that the organization's highest authority is informed of the lawyer's discharge or withdrawal.
- (f) In dealing with an organization's directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, a lawyer shall explain the identity of the client when the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the organization's interests are adverse to those of the constituents with whom the lawyer is dealing.
- (g) A lawyer representing an organization may also represent any of its directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, subject to the provisions of ER 1.7. If the organization's consent to the dual representation is required by ER 1.7, the consent shall be given by an appropriate official of the organization other than the individual who is to be represented, or by the shareholders.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.13

ER 1.7. Conflict of Interest: Current Clients

- (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:
- (1) the representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or
- (2) there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by a personal interest of the lawyer.
- (b) Notwithstanding the existence of a concurrent conflict of interest under paragraph (a), a lawyer may represent a client if each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing. and:
- (1) the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client:

- (2) the representation is not prohibited by law; and
- (3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.7

As you may also be aware, there is a plethora of ongoing litigation among individuals you may know. To the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, the following cases have been filed, are currently being litigated, or have been concluded:

- Owen and Diana Nolan v. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc., and Standard Advisors, LLC; American Arbitration Association; 76 148 Y 00223 08 DEAR (pending)
- Little Isle IV, LLC et. al. v. Kenneth A. Jowdy: United States District Court, District of Arizona; 2:09 –cv-00142-PHX-SRB (this case)
- 3. <u>Ethan Moreau v. Thomas Constantine et. al. w/counterclaim</u>; United States District Court, District of Arizona; CV08-2271-PHX-NVW (on-going)
- Steven J. Hilton v. Constantine Management Group, LTD et. al., State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County; CV 2009-005336 (concluded)
- Philip Kenner v. Kristine Myrick w/counterclaim; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; BC 396805 (pending)
- Philip Kenner v. Kenneth Jowdy and Thomas Alton Harvey; Superior Court of the State of California Central District; BC 417057 (pending)
- Philip Kenner v. Nick James; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; YC 058700 (pending)
- 8. Joe Juneau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Escer Holding Corporation; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; Mosses Gutman; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Loring Ward Capital Management, Inc.; Does 1-10; Thomas Constantine; Constantine Management Ltd., Moses Gutman; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08284-CBM-PJW (pending)

- 9. Ethan Moreau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; AZ Eufora Parners II LLC; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Does 1-10; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08640-CBM-PJW (pending)
- Glen Murray v. Kenneth Jowdy; District Court, Nevada; A571987, Dept. XXII (pending)
- 11. Greg deVries, Jason Woolley, Chris Simon, Mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov, Jay McKee, Raymond Murray, Glen Murray, Bryan Berard, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles Central District; (pending)
- 12. Tyson Nash, Gred de Vries, Turner Stevenson, mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakow, Bryan Berard, Steve Rucchin, Brian Campbell, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jere Lehtinen, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles Central District; (pending)

Please take note that the Undersigned has previously represented Mr. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Mr. Tommy Constantine; and Constantine Management Group, LTD. These cases are numbered 1, 3 and 4 above. The undersigned does not warrant that the above 12 cases constitutes a complete listing of all court cases which could be considered as litigation relating to Mr. Philip Kenner; Little Isle IV, LLC, Ula Makika, LLC, Mr. Tommy Constantine and/or Constantine Management Group, Ltd. This list is simply the cases known to the Undersigned at the time this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver was drafted. Cases which were filed, are pending or have concluded in a United States District Court (including this case) can be monitored or reviewed through the court's electronic filing system (pacer). Cases which were filed are pending or have concluded in the State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County can be monitored or reviewed through that Court's electronic filing system (case history/minute entries). Your independent counsel should know how to do this or can assist you in finding out how to do so.

In this regard, the Undersigned has disclosed his past and ongoing representation of Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, in matters that are not currently adverse to you. These include defending lawsuits brought by Ethan Moreau, and Owen Nolan. However, given the nature of my relationship with Philip Kenner and Tommy Constantine, the Undersigned must preserve its ability to represent Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, on matters that may arise in

the future, including matters adverse to you, provided that I would only undertake such representation of Phil Kenner or Tommy Constantine under circumstances in which I do not possess confidential information of yours relating to this transaction at issue.

The Undersigned understands that you consent to his representation of Philip Kenner, Tommy Constantine and my other clients under the circumstances described above.

Additionally, as a member of Little Isle IV and pursuant to ER 1.13 and 1.7 (as set forth above), I also ask you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver as it relates to representing Philip Kenner, individually, and as managing member of Little Isle IV; as well as Little Isle IV itself, in the US District Court, District of Arizona, proceedings captioned above.

Therefore, to summarize, you have agreed to:

- (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you;
- (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future;
- (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above;
- (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7;
- (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above;
- (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr.Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV;
- (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case.

Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable;

(8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

I request that you signify your informed written consent to the above by signing the Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver set forth below and then returning this letter to me. A copy is also enclosed for your personal records. I encourage you first to seek the advice of independent legal counsel regarding this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver and I emphasize that you remain completely free to consult with independent legal counsel at any time even if you decide to sign this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Baker

TMB\tg Enclosure

Cc: Jere Lehtinen

Brian Campbell
Bryan Berard
Vladimir Tsyplakov
Travis Green
Jerry G. Glatt
Philip J. Stock
Chris Simon

Tyson Nash Dimitri Khristich

Michael Peca

Jason Woolley

Darryl Sydor

Brad Isbister Greg deVries

Sergei Gonchar

Glen Murray

Josef Stumpel

Turner Stevenson

Steven Rucchin

Mattias Norstrom

 Little Isle IV, LLC members Owen Nolan, Joe Juneau, Kristine Myrick, Ethan Moreau, Brad Lukowich, Dan Boyle have taken openly hostile positions against Mr. Philip Kenner.

CONSENT AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification

	and representation from tanding
Professional Conduct. I hereby consent and agincluding, but not limited to: (1) Give up the rirepresenting Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine transaction or litigation that might be adverse the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary any transaction or litigation in the future; (3) Gundersigned (or any employees thereof) in confuture as described above; (4) Consent to dual Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Confurant and Isle IV, Isle Isle Isle Isle Isle Isle Isle Isle	gree to the terms and conditions described therein, ght to disqualify the Undersigned from or any of my other present or future clients in any to you; (2) Give up the right to assert that the or other attorney-client duty in connection with dive up the right to bring suit against the anection with any transaction or litigation in the representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little e the right to assert any conflict of interest in induct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and attorney-client duty in connection with any such in Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm consequential harm resulting in any way from any they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken errors or omissions relating to their prior IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB and as a member of Little Isle IV; (7) Member will only agree to accept this case if he consents to conflict of Interest/Dual Representation a material inducement and requisite for the subers agree that the terms of this Conflict of Waiver are irrevocable; (8) Member agrees that the terms of this conflict of waiver are irrevocable; (8) Member agrees that
Dated: 10/25/2007 By	: Signature of Member
Pr	int name:JERRY G. GLATT
A	Jacksonville, FL 32258
e-1	mail: Jerryglatt @ butmail.com

10/20/2009 14:35

2122263784

FEDEX KINKOS

PAGE 01/08

BAKER & BAKER

THOMAS M. BAKER

Thomas M Baker P.L.C, Attorneys At Lew BUILDING NUMBER 10 SOSO NORTH EIGHTH PLACE PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85014

TELEPHONE (602) 279-1844 FAC\$IMILE (602) 283-9028

September 23, 2009

Re: Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver

Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability (ompany; Ula Makika, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;

Philip A. Kenner.

2:09-cv-00142-PHX-SRB (US District Court, District of Arizona)

Dear Member of Little Isle IV, LLC:

You are listed as a member in Little Isle Iv, LLC, a Delaw: re Limited Liability Company. As you are aware, Mr. Philip Kenner has filed a lawsuit in Phoenix, Arizona against Mr. Kenneth A. Jowdy. Mr. Kenner filed this lawsuit on behalf (f himself as an individual, and on behalf of Little Islc IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. The case was initially filed in the Maricopa County Superior Court and subsequently removed by the Defendant to the United States District Court, District of Arizona, where it has been assigned to the Honorable Susan R. Bolton. The case number is CV09-014PHX-SRB. To date, Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC have been represented by Kevin R. Harper, HARPER LAW PLC and Jonathan A. Dessaules, DESSAULES LAW GROUP. Mr. Jowdy is represented by Brian C. Lake and James A. Ahlers at the law firm of PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. The gist of the lawsuit is to recover certain monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy by Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Mr. Kenner estimates the total amount of moni is loaned to Mr. Jowdy which have not been repaid to be approximately \$5,000,000.00. This is the estimated principal only, exclusive of any accrued interest. In summary, Mr. Jowdy denies that the monies were loans but rather characterizes them as investments. Mr. Jody also asserts that even if the monies were loans, the Statute of Frauds (monies loaned/credit extended withou: a writing in excess of \$250,000.00; A.R.S. §44-101) and/or applicable Statute of Limitation (A.R.S. §12-543) precludes their recovery. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that the promissory note at issue contains his forged signature.

Recently, Messrs. Harper and Dessaules moved to withdray as the attorneys of record for Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Consequently, Mr. Kenner desires to retain the undersigned to represent Himself, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC in these proceedings in place and stead of Messrs. Harper and Dessaules. It order for the undersigned to

represent Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC, this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver has been prepared for you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned.

The Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, Rule 42, ER 1.13 and 1.7 state:

ER 1.13. Organization as Client

- (a) A lawyer employed or retained by an organization represents the organization acting through its duly authorized constituents.
- (b) If a lawyer for an organization knows that an officer, comployee or other person associated with the organization is engaged in action, intends to act or refuses to act in a matter related to the representation that is a violation of a legal obligation to the organization, or a violation of law that reasonably might be imputed to the organization, and that is likely to result in substantial injury to the organization, the lawyer shall proceed as is reasonably necessary in the best interest of the organization. Unless the lawyer reasonably believes that it is not necessary in the best interest of the organization to do so, the lawyer shall refer the matter to higher authority in the organization, including, if warranted by the circumstances, to the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization as determined by applicable law.
- (c) Except as provided in paragraph (d), if
- (1) despite the lawyer's efforts in accordance with paragraph (b) the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization insists upon or fails to address in a timely and appropriate manner an action or refusal to act, that is clearly a violation of law, and
- (2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the violation is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the organization,

then the lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation whether or not Rule 1.6 permits such disclosure, but only if and to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to prevent substantial injury to the organization.

(d) Paragraph (e) shall not apply with respect to information relating to a lawyer's representation of an organization to investigate an alleged violation of law, or to defend the organization or an officer, employee or other constituent associated with the organization against a claim arising out of an alleged violation of law.

- (e) A lawyer who reasonably believes that he or she has been discharged because of the lawyer's actions taken pursuant to paragraphs (b) or (c, or who withdraws under circumstances that require or permit the lawyer to take act on under either of those paragraphs, shall proceed as the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to assure that the organization's highest authority is informed of the lawyer's discharge or withdrawal.
- (f) In dealing with an organization's directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, a lawyer shall explain the identity of the client when the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the organization's interests are adverse to those of the constituents with whom the lawyer is dealing.
- (g) A lawyer representing an organization may also represent any of its directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, subject to the provisions of ER 1.7. If the organization's consent to the dual representation is required by ER 1.7, the consent shall be given by an appropriate official of the organization other than the individual who is to be represented, or by the shareholders.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.13

ER 1.7. Conflict of Interest: Current Clients

- (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:
- (1) the representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or
- (2) there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by a personal interest of the lawyer.
- (b) Notwithstanding the existence of a concurrent conflict of interest under paragraph (a), a lawyer may represent a client if each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing, and:
- (1) the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client:

- (2) the representation is not prohibited by law; and
- (3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1."

As you may also be aware, there is a plethora of ongoing II igation among individuals you may know. To the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, the following cases have been filed, are currently being litigated, or have been concluded:

- Owen and Diana Nolan v. Philip Kenner. Standard Advisors, Inc., and Standard Advisors, LLC; American Arbitration Association; 76 148 Y 00223 08 DEAR (pending)
- Little Isle IV, LLC et. al. v. Kenneth A. Jowdy: United States District Court, District of Arizona; 2:09 -cv-00142-PHX-SRB (this case)
- 3. Ethan Moreau v. Thomas Constantine et. al. w/counter: laim: United States District Court, District of Arizona; CV08-2271-PHX-NVW (on going)
- Steven J. Hilton v. Constantine Management Group, L'I D et. al., State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County; CV 2009-005336 (concluded)
- 5. Philip Kenner v. Kristine Myrick w/counterclaim; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; BC 396805 (pending)
- 6. Philip Kenner v. Kenneth Jowdy and Thomas Alton Harvey; Superior Court of the State of California Central District; BC 417057 (pendin.3)
- 7. Philip Kenner v. Nick James; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; YC 058700 (pending)
- 8. Joe Juneau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Foldings, L. C; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC Escer Holding Corporation; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; Mosses Gutman; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Loring Ward Capital Management, Inc.; Does 1-10; Thomas Constantine; Constantine Management Ltd., Moses Gutman; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08284-CBM-PJW (pending)

- 9. Ethan Moreau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC: Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam: Little Isle IV, LLC: Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; A', Eufora Parners II LLC; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Poes 1-10; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08640-CBM-PJW (pending)
- Glen Murray v. Kenneth Jowdy; District Court, Nevaca; A571987, Dept. XXII (pending)
- Greg deVries, Jason Woolley, Chris Simon, Mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov, Jay McKee, Raymond Murray, Glen Murray, Bryan Be tard, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jozef Stump, L. v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles Centre I District; (pending)
- 12. Tyson Nash, Gred deVries, Turner Stevenson, mattias I lorstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakow, Bryan Berard, Steve Rucchin, Brian Campbell, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonehar, Michael Peca, Jere Lehtinen, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Lo: Angeles Central District; (pending)

Please take note that the Undersigned has previously represented Mr. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Mr. Tommy Constantine; and Constantine Management Group, LTD. These cases are numbered 1, 3 and 4 above. The undersigned does not warrant that the above 12 cases constitutes a complete listing of all court cases which could be considered as litigation relating to Mr. Philip Kenner; Little Isle IV, LLC, Ula Makika, LLC, Mr. Tommy Constantine and/or Constantine Management Group, Ltd. This list is simply the cases known to the Undersigned at the time this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver was drafted. Cases which were filed, are pending or have concluded in a United States District Court (including this case) can be monitored or reviewed through the court's electronic filing system pacer). Cases which were filed are pending or have concluded in the State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County can be monitored or reviewed through that Court's electronic filing system (case history/minute entries). Your independent counsel should know how to do this or can assist you in finding out how to do so.

In this regard, the Undersigned has disclosed his past and ongoing representation of Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tornmy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, in matters that are not currently adverse to you. These include defending lawsuits brought by Ethan Moreau, and Owen Nolan. However, given the nature of my relationship with Philip Kenner and Tommy Constantine, the Undersigned must preserve its ability to represent Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, on matters that may arise in

the future, including matters adverse to you, provided that I would only undertake such representation of Phil Kenner or Tommy Constantine under circumstances in which I do not possess confidential information of yours relating to this transaction at issue.

The Undersigned understands that you consent to his representation of Philip Kenner, Tommy Constantine and my other clients under the circumstances described above.

Additionally, as a member of Little Isle IV and pursuant to ER 1.13 and 1.7 (as set forth above), I also ask you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver as it relates to representing Philip Kenner, individually, and as managing member of Little Isle IV; as well as Little Isle IV itself, in the US District Court, District of Arizona, proceedings captioned above.

Therefore, to summarize, you have agreed to:

- (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you;
- (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-olient duty in connection with any ransaction or litigation in the future;
- (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above:
- (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7;
- (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above;
- Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr.Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV;
- (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waive. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case.

1embor's britials

31805 KINKOS

PAGE 07/08

September 23, 2009 Page 7

Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable;

(8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

I request that you signify your informed written consent to the above by signing the Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver set forth below and then returning this letter to me. A copy is also enclosed for your personal records. I encourage you first to seek the advice of independent legal counsel regarding this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver and I emphasize that you remain completely free to consult with independent legal counsel at any time even if you decide to sign this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Thoma; M. Baker

TMB\tg Enclosure

Cc:

Jere Lehtinen
Brian Campbell
Bryan Berard
Vladimir Tsyplakov
Travis Green
Jerry G. Glatt
Philip J. Stock
Chris Simon
Michael Peca
Tyson Nash
Dimitri Khristich

Jason Woolley
Darryl Sydor
Brad Isbister
Greg deVries
Sergei Gonchar
Glen Murray
Josef Stumpel
Turner Stevenson
Steven Rucchin
Mattias Norstrom

 Little Isle IV, LLC members Owen Nolan, Joe Juneau, Kristine Myrick, Ethan Moreau, Brad Lukowich, Dan Boyle have taken openly hostile positions against Mr. Philip Kenner.

10/20/2009 14:35

2122263784

31806 KINKOS

PAGE 08/08

September 23, 2009 Page 8

CONSENT AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS
Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification

acknowledge the foregoing seven (7) page letter and its written disclosures pursuant to E.R. 1.13 and 1.7, Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct. I hereby consent and agree to the terms and conditions described therein, including, but not limited to: (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you; (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-c ient duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future; (3) Give up the right to Iring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above; (4) Consent to dual representation by a torney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7; (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above; (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken. arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr. Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV; (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/I wal Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case. Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable; (8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

Dated: 10-19-09

By: 15
Signature of Daember

Print name: Bryo, Betast

Address: 318 W 318 St 20 + 3

Sostan MA 02127

e-mail: Bleggid 4 @ Lyngil Com

BAKER & BAKER

THOMAS M. BAKER

Thomas M Baker P.L.C. Attorneys At Law BUILDING NUMBER 10 5050 NORTH EIGHTH PLACE PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85014

TELEPHONE (602) 279-1644 FACSIMILE (602) 263-9028

September 23, 2009

Re: Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver

Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; Ula Makika, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;

Philip A. Kenner.

2:09-cv-00142-PHX-SRB (US District Court, District of Arizona)

Dear Member of Little Isle IV, LLC:

You are listed as a member in Little Isle Iv, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company. As you are aware, Mr. Philip Kenner has filed a lawsuit in Phoenix, Arizona against Mr. Kenneth A. Jowdy. Mr. Kenner filed this lawsuit on behalf of himself as an individual, and on behalf of Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. The case was initially filed in the Maricopa County Superior Court and subsequently removed by the Defendant to the United States District Court, District of Arizona, where it has been assigned to the Honorable Susan R. Bolton. The case number is CV09-014PHX-SRB. To date, Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC have been represented by Kevin R. Harper, HARPER LAW PLC and Jonathan A. Dessaules, DESSAULES LAW GROUP. Mr. Jowdy is represented by Brian C. Lake and James A. Ahlers at the law firm of PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. The gist of the lawsuit is to recover certain monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy by Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Mr. Kenner estimates the total amount of monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy which have not been repaid to be approximately \$5,000,000.00. This is the estimated principal only. exclusive of any accrued interest. In summary, Mr. Jowdy denies that the monies were loans but rather characterizes them as investments. Mr. Jody also asserts that even if the monies were loans, the Statute of Frauds (monies loaned/credit extended without a writing in excess of \$250,000.00; A.R.S. §44-101) and/or applicable Statute of Limitation (A.R.S. §12-543) precludes their recovery. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that the promissory note at issue contains his forged signature.

Recently, Messrs. Harper and Dessaules moved to withdraw as the attorneys of record for Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Consequently, Mr. Kenner desires to retain the undersigned to represent Himself, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC in these proceedings in place and stead of Messrs. Harper and Dessaules. In order for the undersigned to

B.C. Member's Initials

represent Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC, this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver has been prepared for you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned.

The Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, Rule 42, ER 1.13 and 1.7 state:

ER 1.13. Organization as Client

- (a) A lawyer employed or retained by an organization represents the organization acting through its duly authorized constituents.
- (b) If a lawyer for an organization knows that an officer, employee or other person associated with the organization is engaged in action, intends to act or refuses to act in a matter related to the representation that is a violation of a legal obligation to the organization, or a violation of law that reasonably might be imputed to the organization, and that is likely to result in substantial injury to the organization, the lawyer shall proceed as is reasonably necessary in the best interest of the organization. Unless the lawyer reasonably believes that it is not necessary in the best interest of the organization to do so, the lawyer shall refer the matter to higher authority in the organization, including, if warranted by the circumstances, to the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization as determined by applicable law.
- (c) Except as provided in paragraph (d), if
- (1) despite the lawyer's efforts in accordance with paragraph (b) the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization insists upon or fails to address in a timely and appropriate manner an action or refusal to act, that is clearly a violation of law, and
- (2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the violation is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the organization,

then the lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation whether or not Rule 1.6 permits such disclosure, but only if and to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to prevent substantial injury to the organization.

(d) Paragraph (c) shall not apply with respect to information relating to a lawyer's representation of an organization to investigate an alleged violation of law, or to defend the organization or an officer, employee or other constituent associated with the organization against a claim arising out of an alleged violation of law.

- (e) A lawyer who reasonably believes that he or she has been discharged because of the lawyer's actions taken pursuant to paragraphs (b) or (c), or who withdraws under circumstances that require or permit the lawyer to take action under either of those paragraphs, shall proceed as the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to assure that the organization's highest authority is informed of the lawyer's discharge or withdrawal.
- (f) In dealing with an organization's directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, a lawyer shall explain the identity of the client when the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the organization's interests are adverse to those of the constituents with whom the lawyer is dealing.
- (g) A lawyer representing an organization may also represent any of its directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, subject to the provisions of ER 1.7. If the organization's consent to the dual representation is required by ER 1.7, the consent shall be given by an appropriate official of the organization other than the individual who is to be represented, or by the shareholders.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.13

ER 1.7. Conflict of Interest: Current Clients

- (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:
- (1) the representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or
- (2) there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by a personal interest of the lawyer.
- (b) Notwithstanding the existence of a concurrent conflict of interest under paragraph (a), a lawyer may represent a client if each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing. and:
- (1) the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client:

- (2) the representation is not prohibited by law; and
- (3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.7

As you may also be aware, there is a plethora of ongoing litigation among individuals you may know. To the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, the following cases have been filed, are currently being litigated, or have been concluded:

- 1. Owen and Diana Nolan v. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc., and Standard Advisors, LLC; American Arbitration Association; 76 148 Y 00223 08 DEAR (pending)
- 2. <u>Little Isle IV, LLC et. al. v. Kenneth A. Jowdy:</u> United States District Court, District of Arizona; 2:09 –cv-00142-PHX-SRB (this case)
- 3. <u>Ethan Moreau v. Thomas Constantine et. al. w/counterclaim;</u> United States District Court, District of Arizona; CV08-2271-PHX-NVW (on-going)
- 4. <u>Steven J. Hilton v. Constantine Management Group, LTD et. al.</u>, State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County; CV 2009-005336 (concluded)
- 5. <u>Philip Kenner v. Kristine Myrick w/counterclaim</u>; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; BC 396805 (pending)
- 6. <u>Philip Kenner v. Kenneth Jowdy and Thomas Alton Harvey</u>; Superior Court of the State of California Central District; BC 417057 (pending)
- 7. <u>Philip Kenner v. Nick James</u>; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; YC 058700 (pending)
- 8. Joe Juneau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Escer Holding Corporation; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; Mosses Gutman; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Loring Ward Capital Management, Inc.; Does 1-10; Thomas Constantine; Constantine Management Ltd., Moses Gutman; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08284-CBM-PJW (pending)

- 9. Ethan Moreau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; AZ Eufora Parners II LLC; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Does 1-10; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08640-CBM-PJW (pending)
- 10. <u>Glen Murray v. Kenneth Jowdy;</u> District Court, Nevada; A571987, Dept. XXII (pending)
- 11. <u>Greg deVries, Jason Woolley, Chris Simon, Mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov, Jay McKee, Raymond Murray, Glen Murray, Bryan Berard, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles Central District; (pending)</u>
- 12. <u>Tyson Nash, Gred deVries, Turner Stevenson, mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakow, Bryan Berard, Steve Rucchin, Brian Campbell, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jere Lehtinen, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles Central District; (pending)</u>

Please take note that the Undersigned has previously represented Mr. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Mr. Tommy Constantine; and Constantine Management Group, LTD. These cases are numbered 1, 3 and 4 above. The undersigned does not warrant that the above 12 cases constitutes a complete listing of all court cases which could be considered as litigation relating to Mr. Philip Kenner; Little Isle IV, LLC, Ula Makika, LLC, Mr. Tommy Constantine and/or Constantine Management Group, Ltd. This list is simply the cases known to the Undersigned at the time this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver was drafted. Cases which were filed, are pending or have concluded in a United States District Court (including this case) can be monitored or reviewed through the court's electronic filing system (pacer). Cases which were filed are pending or have concluded in the State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County can be monitored or reviewed through that Court's electronic filing system (case history/minute entries). Your independent counsel should know how to do this or can assist you in finding out how to do so.

In this regard, the Undersigned has disclosed his past and ongoing representation of Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, in matters that are not currently adverse to you. These include defending lawsuits brought by Ethan Moreau, and Owen Nolan. However, given the nature of my relationship with Philip Kenner and Tommy Constantine, the Undersigned must preserve its ability to represent Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, on matters that may arise in

the future, including matters adverse to you, provided that I would only undertake such representation of Phil Kenner or Tommy Constantine under circumstances in which I do not possess confidential information of yours relating to this transaction at issue.

The Undersigned understands that you consent to his representation of Philip Kenner, Tommy Constantine and my other clients under the circumstances described above.

Additionally, as a member of Little Isle IV and pursuant to ER 1.13 and 1.7 (as set forth above), I also ask you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver as it relates to representing Philip Kenner, individually, and as managing member of Little Isle IV; as well as Little Isle IV itself, in the US District Court, District of Arizona, proceedings captioned above.

Therefore, to summarize, you have agreed to:

- (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you;
- (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future:
- (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above;
- (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7;
- (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above;
- (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr.Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV;
- (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case.

B.C.
Member's Initials

> Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable;

Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be (8)used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

I request that you signify your informed written consent to the above by signing the Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver set forth below and then returning this letter to me. A copy is also enclosed for your personal records. I encourage you first to seek the advice of independent legal counsel regarding this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver and I emphasize that you remain completely free to consult with independent legal counsel at any time even if you decide to sign this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Baker

TMB\tg Enclosure

Jere Lehtinen Cc: Brian Campbell Bryan Berard Vladimir Tsyplakov Travis Green Jerry G. Glatt

Darryl Sydor **Brad Isbister** Greg deVries Sergei Gonchar Glen Murray Philip J. Stock Josef Stumpel Chris Simon Turner Stevenson Michael Peca Steven Rucchin Mattias Norstrom Tyson Nash Dimitri Khristich

Little Isle IV, LLC members Owen Nolan, Joe Juneau, Kristine Myrick, Ethan Moreau, Brad Lukowich, Dan Boyle have taken openly hostile positions against Mr. Philip Kenner.

Jason Woolley

CONSENT AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification

Dated: 11/05/09

By: Signature of Member

Print name: BREAN CAMPBELC

Address: 1235 W. BELDEN AUF.
CHECAGO FL 60614

e-mail: bcarpbell 1979 @nac.com.

Case 2:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 959-8 Filed 11/24/20 Page 25 of 65 PageID #: 31815

BAKER & BAKER

Thomas M Baker P.L.C. Attorneys At Law BUILDING NUMBER 10 5050 NORTH EIGHTH PLACE PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85014

TELEPHONE (602) 279-1644 FACSIMILE (602) 263-9028

THOMAS M. BAKER

September 23, 2009

Re: Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver

Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; Ula Makika, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;

Philip A. Kenner.

2:09-cv-00142-PHX-SRB (US District Court, District of Arizona)

Dear Member of Little Isle IV, LLC:

You are listed as a member in Little Isle Iv, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company. As you are aware, Mr. Philip Kenner has filed a lawsuit in Phoenix, Arizona against Mr. Kenneth A. Jowdy. Mr. Kenner filed this lawsuit on behalf of himself as an individual, and on behalf of Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. The case was initially filed in the Maricopa County Superior Court and subsequently removed by the Defendant to the United States District Court, District of Arizona, where it has been assigned to the Honorable Susan R. Bolton. The case number is CV09-014PHX-SRB. To date, Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC have been represented by Kevin R. Harper, HARPER LAW PLC and Jonathan A. Dessaules, DESSAULES LAW GROUP. Mr. Jowdy is represented by Brian C. Lake and James A. Ahlers at the law firm of PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. The gist of the lawsuit is to recover certain monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy by Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Mr. Kenner estimates the total amount of monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy which have not been repaid to be approximately \$5,000,000.00. This is the estimated principal only, exclusive of any accrued interest. In summary, Mr. Jowdy denies that the monies were loans but rather characterizes them as investments. Mr. Jody also asserts that even if the monies were loans, the Statute of Frauds (monies loaned/credit extended without a writing in excess of \$250,000.00; A.R.S. §44-101) and/or applicable Statute of Limitation (A.R.S. §12-543) precludes their recovery. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that the promissory note at issue contains his forged signature.

Recently, Messrs. Harper and Dessaules moved to withdraw as the attorneys of record for Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Consequently, Mr. Kenner desires to retain the undersigned to represent Himself, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC in these proceedings in place and stead of Messrs. Harper and Dessaules. In order for the undersigned to



represent Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC, this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver has been prepared for you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned.

The Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, Rule 42, ER 1.13 and 1.7 state:

ER 1.13. Organization as Client

- (a) A lawyer employed or retained by an organization represents the organization acting through its duly authorized constituents.
- (b) If a lawyer for an organization knows that an officer, employee or other person associated with the organization is engaged in action, intends to act or refuses to act in a matter related to the representation that is a violation of a legal obligation to the organization, or a violation of law that reasonably might be imputed to the organization, and that is likely to result in substantial injury to the organization, the lawyer shall proceed as is reasonably necessary in the best interest of the organization. Unless the lawyer reasonably believes that it is not necessary in the best interest of the organization to do so, the lawyer shall refer the matter to higher authority in the organization, including, if warranted by the circumstances, to the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization as determined by applicable law.
- (c) Except as provided in paragraph (d), if
- (1) despite the lawyer's efforts in accordance with paragraph (b) the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization insists upon or fails to address in a timely and appropriate manner an action or refusal to act, that is clearly a violation of law, and
- (2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the violation is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the organization,

then the lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation whether or not Rule 1.6 permits such disclosure, but only if and to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to prevent substantial injury to the organization.

(d) Paragraph (c) shall not apply with respect to information relating to a lawyer's representation of an organization to investigate an alleged violation of law, or to defend the organization or an officer, employee or other constituent associated with the organization against a claim arising out of an alleged violation of law.

- (e) A lawyer who reasonably believes that he or she has been discharged because of the lawyer's actions taken pursuant to paragraphs (b) or (c), or who withdraws under circumstances that require or permit the lawyer to take action under either of those paragraphs, shall proceed as the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to assure that the organization's highest authority is informed of the lawyer's discharge or withdrawal.
- (f) In dealing with an organization's directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, a lawyer shall explain the identity of the client when the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the organization's interests are adverse to those of the constituents with whom the lawyer is dealing.
- (g) A lawyer representing an organization may also represent any of its directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, subject to the provisions of ER 1.7. If the organization's consent to the dual representation is required by ER 1.7, the consent shall be given by an appropriate official of the organization other than the individual who is to be represented, or by the shareholders.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.13

ER 1.7. Conflict of Interest: Current Clients

- (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:
- (1) the representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or
- (2) there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by a personal interest of the lawyer.
- (b) Notwithstanding the existence of a concurrent conflict of interest under paragraph (a), a lawyer may represent a client if each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing. and:
- (1) the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client:

- (2) the representation is not prohibited by law; and
- (3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.7

As you may also be aware, there is a plethora of ongoing litigation among individuals you may know. To the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, the following cases have been filed, are currently being litigated, or have been concluded:

- Owen and Diana Nolan v. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc., and Standard Advisors, LLC; American Arbitration Association; 76 148 Y 00223 08 DEAR (pending)
- 2. <u>Little Isle IV, LLC et. al. v. Kenneth A. Jowdy:</u> United States District Court, District of Arizona; 2:09 –cv-00142-PHX-SRB (this case)
- 3. Ethan Moreau v. Thomas Constantine et. al. w/counterclaim: United States District Court, District of Arizona; CV08-2271-PHX-NVW (on-going)
- 4. <u>Steven J. Hilton v. Constantine Management Group, LTD et. al.</u>, State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County; CV 2009-005336 (concluded)
- 5. <u>Philip Kenner v. Kristine Myrick w/counterclaim;</u> Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; BC 396805 (pending)
- 6. <u>Philip Kenner v. Kenneth Jowdy and Thomas Alton Harvey</u>; Superior Court of the State of California Central District; BC 417057 (pending)
- 7. <u>Philip Kenner v. Nick James</u>; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; YC 058700 (pending)
- 8. Joe Juneau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Escer Holding Corporation; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; Mosses Gutman; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Loring Ward Capital Management, Inc.; Does 1-10; Thomas Constantine; Constantine Management Ltd., Moses Gutman; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08284-CBM-PJW (pending)

- 9. Ethan Moreau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; AZ Eufora Parners II LLC; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Does 1-10; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08640-CBM-PJW (pending)
- 10. <u>Glen Murray v. Kenneth Jowdy</u>; District Court, Nevada; A571987, Dept. XXII (pending)
- 11. <u>Greg deVries, Jason Woolley, Chris Simon, Mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov, Jay McKee, Raymond Murray, Glen Murray, Bryan Berard, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles Central District; (pending)</u>
- 12. Tyson Nash, Gred deVries, Turner Stevenson, mattias Norstrom, Vladimir
 Tsyplakow, Bryan Berard, Steve Rucchin, Brian Campbell, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri
 Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jere Lehtinen, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy;
 Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles Central District;
 (pending)

Please take note that the Undersigned has previously represented Mr. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Mr. Tommy Constantine; and Constantine Management Group, LTD. These cases are numbered 1, 3 and 4 above. The undersigned does not warrant that the above 12 cases constitutes a complete listing of all court cases which could be considered as litigation relating to Mr. Philip Kenner; Little Isle IV, LLC, Ula Makika, LLC, Mr. Tommy Constantine and/or Constantine Management Group, Ltd. This list is simply the cases known to the Undersigned at the time this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver was drafted. Cases which were filed, are pending or have concluded in a United States District Court (including this case) can be monitored or reviewed through the court's electronic filing system (pacer). Cases which were filed are pending or have concluded in the State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County can be monitored or reviewed through that Court's electronic filing system (case history/minute entries). Your independent counsel should know how to do this or can assist you in finding out how to do so.

In this regard, the Undersigned has disclosed his past and ongoing representation of Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, in matters that are not currently adverse to you. These include defending lawsuits brought by Ethan Moreau, and Owen Nolan. However, given the nature of my relationship with Philip Kenner and Tommy Constantine, the Undersigned must preserve its ability to represent Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, on matters that may arise in

the future, including matters adverse to you, provided that I would only undertake such representation of Phil Kenner or Tommy Constantine under circumstances in which I do not possess confidential information of yours relating to this transaction at issue.

The Undersigned understands that you consent to his representation of Philip Kenner, Tommy Constantine and my other clients under the circumstances described above.

Additionally, as a member of Little Isle IV and pursuant to ER 1.13 and 1.7 (as set forth above), I also ask you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver as it relates to representing Philip Kenner, individually, and as managing member of Little Isle IV; as well as Little Isle IV itself, in the US District Court, District of Arizona, proceedings captioned above.

Therefore, to summarize, you have agreed to:

- (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you;
- (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future;
- (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above;
- (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7;
- (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above;
- (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr.Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV;
- (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case.

Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable:

(8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

I request that you signify your informed written consent to the above by signing the Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver set forth below and then returning this letter to me. A copy is also enclosed for your personal records. I encourage you first to seek the advice of independent legal counsel regarding this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver and I emphasize that you remain completely free to consult with independent legal counsel at any time even if you decide to sign this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Baker

TMB\tg Enclosure

Cc: Jere Lehtinen
Brian Campbell

Bryan Berard Vladimir Tsyplakov Travis Green Jerry G. Glatt

Philip J. Stock Chris Simon Michael Peca Tyson Nash

Dimitri Khristich

Jason Woolley

Darryl Sydor Brad Isbister

Greg deVries Sergei Gonchar

Glen Murray Josef Stumpel

Turner Stevenson

Steven Rucchin Mattias Norstrom

• Little Isle IV, LLC members Owen Nolan, Joe Juneau, Kristine Myrick, Ethan Moreau, Brad Lukowich, Dan Boyle have taken openly hostile positions against Mr. Philip Kenner.

CONSENT AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification

1		
I, Nour Sydne	, acknowledge the foregoing seven (7) and to E.R. 1.13 and 1.7, Arizona Rules of	
page letter and its written disclosures pursua	ant to E.R. 1.13 and 1.7, Arizona Rules of	
	agree to the terms and conditions described therein,	
including, but not limited to: (1) Give up the		
	ne or any of my other present or future clients in any	
	e to you; (2) Give up the right to assert that the	
	ary or other attorney-client duty in connection with	
any transaction or litigation in the future; (3)		
	connection with any transaction or litigation in the	
	al representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little	
	aive the right to assert any conflict of interest in	
	Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and	
	r attorney-client duty in connection with any such	
	(6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm	
	or consequential harm resulting in any way from any	
•		
act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior		
	s, errors of omissions felating to their prior sile IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB.	
This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV; (7) Member		
understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to		
the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the		
	members agree that the terms of this Conflict of	
	nd Waiver are irrevocable; (8) Member agrees that	
	atures may be used in lieu of original signatures and	
and the second of the second o	of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and	
	enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of	
Arizona.	1	
- 10 15		
Dated: 10-15-09	By:	
	Signature of Member	
	Division of the state of the st	
a	Print name: Darry 1 Sydor	
	Address: 1/26 Highland sinte	
	Address: 1/26 Highland pointe Town's country 63131	
	e-mail: DS ydor 55 @ G-mail. com	
V		

BAKER & BAKER

THOMAS M. BAKER

Thomas M Baker P.L.C. Attorneys At Law BUILDING NUMBER 10 5050 NORTH EIGHTH PLACE PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85014

TELEPHONE (602) 279-1644 FACSIMILE (602) 263-9028

September 23, 2009

Re: Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver

Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; Ula Makika, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;

Philip A. Kenner.

2:09-cv-00142-PHX-SRB (US District Court, District of Arizona)

Dear Member of Little Isle IV, LLC:

You are listed as a member in Little Isle Iv, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company. As you are aware, Mr. Philip Kenner has filed a lawsuit in Phoenix, Arizona against Mr. Kenneth A. Jowdy. Mr. Kenner filed this lawsuit on behalf of himself as an individual, and on behalf of Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. The case was initially filed in the Maricopa County Superior Court and subsequently removed by the Defendant to the United States District Court, District of Arizona, where it has been assigned to the Honorable Susan R. Bolton. The case number is CV09-014PHX-SRB. To date, Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC have been represented by Kevin R. Harper, HARPER LAW PLC and Jonathan A. Dessaules, DESSAULES LAW GROUP. Mr. Jowdy is represented by Brian C. Lake and James A. Ahlers at the law firm of PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. The gist of the lawsuit is to recover certain monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy by Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Mr. Kenner estimates the total amount of monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy which have not been repaid to be approximately \$5,000,000.00. This is the estimated principal only, exclusive of any accrued interest. In summary, Mr. Jowdy denies that the monies were loans but rather characterizes them as investments. Mr. Jody also asserts that even if the monies were loans, the Statute of Frauds (monies loaned/credit extended without a writing in excess of \$250,000.00; A.R.S. §44-101) and/or applicable Statute of Limitation (A.R.S. §12-543) precludes their recovery. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that the promissory note at issue contains his forged signature.

Recently, Messrs. Harper and Dessaules moved to withdraw as the attorneys of record for Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Consequently, Mr. Kenner desires to retain the undersigned to represent Himself, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC in these proceedings in place and stead of Messrs. Harper and Dessaules. In order for the undersigned to

represent Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC, this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver has been prepared for you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned.

The Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, Rule 42, ER 1.13 and 1.7 state:

ER 1.13. Organization as Client

- (a) A lawyer employed or retained by an organization represents the organization acting through its duly authorized constituents.
- (b) If a lawyer for an organization knows that an officer, employee or other person associated with the organization is engaged in action, intends to act or refuses to act in a matter related to the representation that is a violation of a legal obligation to the organization, or a violation of law that reasonably might be imputed to the organization, and that is likely to result in substantial injury to the organization, the lawyer shall proceed as is reasonably necessary in the best interest of the organization. Unless the lawyer reasonably believes that it is not necessary in the best interest of the organization to do so, the lawyer shall refer the matter to higher authority in the organization, including, if warranted by the circumstances, to the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization as determined by applicable law.
- (c) Except as provided in paragraph (d), if
- (1) despite the lawyer's efforts in accordance with paragraph (b) the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization insists upon or fails to address in a timely and appropriate manner an action or refusal to act, that is clearly a violation of law, and
- (2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the violation is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the organization,

then the lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation whether or not Rule 1.6 permits such disclosure, but only if and to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to prevent substantial injury to the organization.

(d) Paragraph (c) shall not apply with respect to information relating to a lawyer's representation of an organization to investigate an alleged violation of law, or to defend the organization or an officer, employee or other constituent associated with the organization against a claim arising out of an alleged violation of law.

- (e) A lawyer who reasonably believes that he or she has been discharged because of the lawyer's actions taken pursuant to paragraphs (b) or (c), or who withdraws under circumstances that require or permit the lawyer to take action under either of those paragraphs, shall proceed as the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to assure that the organization's highest authority is informed of the lawyer's discharge or withdrawal.
- (f) In dealing with an organization's directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, a lawyer shall explain the identity of the client when the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the organization's interests are adverse to those of the constituents with whom the lawyer is dealing.
- (g) A lawyer representing an organization may also represent any of its directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, subject to the provisions of ER 1.7. If the organization's consent to the dual representation is required by ER 1.7, the consent shall be given by an appropriate official of the organization other than the individual who is to be represented, or by the shareholders.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.13

ER 1.7. Conflict of Interest: Current Clients

- (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:
- (1) the representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or
- (2) there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by a personal interest of the lawyer.
- (b) Notwithstanding the existence of a concurrent conflict of interest under paragraph (a), a lawyer may represent a client if each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing. and:
- (1) the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client:

- (2) the representation is not prohibited by law; and
- (3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.7

As you may also be aware, there is a plethora of ongoing litigation among individuals you may know. To the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, the following cases have been filed, are currently being litigated, or have been concluded:

- 1. Owen and Diana Nolan v. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc., and Standard Advisors, LLC; American Arbitration Association; 76 148 Y 00223 08 DEAR (pending)
- 2. <u>Little Isle IV, LLC et. al. v. Kenneth A. Jowdy</u>; United States District Court, District of Arizona; 2:09 –cv-00142-PHX-SRB (this case)
- 3. <u>Ethan Moreau v. Thomas Constantine et. al. w/counterclaim</u>; United States District Court, District of Arizona; CV08-2271-PHX-NVW (on-going)
- 4. <u>Steven J. Hilton v. Constantine Management Group, LTD et. al.</u>, State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County; CV 2009-005336 (concluded)
- 5. <u>Philip Kenner v. Kristine Myrick w/counterclaim;</u> Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; BC 396805 (pending)
- 6. <u>Philip Kenner v. Kenneth Jowdy and Thomas Alton Harvey</u>; Superior Court of the State of California Central District; BC 417057 (pending)
- 7. <u>Philip Kenner v. Nick James</u>; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; YC 058700 (pending)
- 8. Joe Juneau v. Philip Kenner: Standard Advisors, Inc.: Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Escer Holding Corporation: Kenneth A. Jowdy: Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC: Mosses Gutman; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Loring Ward Capital Management, Inc.: Does 1-10; Thomas Constantine; Constantine Management Ltd., Moses Gutman; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08284-CBM-PJW (pending)

- 9. Ethan Moreau v. Philip Kenner: Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; AZ Eufora Parners II LLC; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward: Does 1-10; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08640-CBM-PJW (pending)
- 10. <u>Glen Murray v. Kenneth Jowdy</u>; District Court, Nevada; A571987, Dept. XXII (pending)
- 11. Greg de Vries. Jason Woolley, Chris Simon, Mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov, Jay McKee, Raymond Murray, Glen Murray, Bryan Berard, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles Central District; (pending)
- 12. Tyson Nash, Gred deVries, Turner Stevenson, mattias Norstrom, Vladimir
 Tsyplakow, Bryan Berard, Steve Rucchin, Brian Campbell, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri
 Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jere Lehtinen, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy;
 Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles Central District;
 (pending)

Please take note that the Undersigned has previously represented Mr. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Mr. Tommy Constantine; and Constantine Management Group, LTD. These cases are numbered 1, 3 and 4 above. The undersigned does not warrant that the above 12 cases constitutes a complete listing of all court cases which could be considered as litigation relating to Mr. Philip Kenner; Little Isle IV, LLC, Ula Makika, LLC, Mr. Tommy Constantine and/or Constantine Management Group, Ltd. This list is simply the cases known to the Undersigned at the time this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver was drafted. Cases which were filed, are pending or have concluded in a United States District Court (including this case) can be monitored or reviewed through the court's electronic filing system (pacer). Cases which were filed are pending or have concluded in the State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County can be monitored or reviewed through that Court's electronic filing system (case history/minute entries). Your independent counsel should know how to do this or can assist you in finding out how to do so.

In this regard, the Undersigned has disclosed his past and ongoing representation of Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, in matters that are not currently adverse to you. These include defending lawsuits brought by Ethan Moreau, and Owen Nolan. However, given the nature of my relationship with Philip Kenner and Tommy Constantine, the Undersigned must preserve its ability to represent Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, on matters that may arise in D.V.

the future, including matters adverse to you, provided that I would only undertake such representation of Phil Kenner or Tommy Constantine under circumstances in which I do not possess confidential information of yours relating to this transaction at issue.

The Undersigned understands that you consent to his representation of Philip Kenner, Tommy Constantine and my other clients under the circumstances described above.

Additionally, as a member of Little Isle IV and pursuant to ER 1.13 and 1.7 (as set forth above), I also ask you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver as it relates to representing Philip Kenner, individually, and as managing member of Little Isle IV; as well as Little Isle IV itself, in the US District Court, District of Arizona, proceedings captioned above.

Therefore, to summarize, you have agreed to:

- (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you;
- (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future;
- (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above;
- (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7;
- (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above;
- (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr.Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV;
- (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case.

Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable;

(8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

I request that you signify your informed written consent to the above by signing the Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver set forth below and then returning this letter to me. A copy is also enclosed for your personal records. I encourage you first to seek the advice of independent legal counsel regarding this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver and I emphasize that you remain completely free to consult with independent legal counsel at any time even if you decide to sign this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Baker

TMB\tg Enclosure

Cc: Jere Lehtinen

Brian Campbell Bryan Berard

Vladimir Tsyplakov

Travis Green

Jerry G. Glatt

Philip J. Stock

Chris Simon Michael Peca

Tyson Nash

Dimitri Khristich

Jason Woolley

Darryl Sydor

Brad Isbister

Greg deVries

Sergei Gonchar

Glen Murray

Josef Stumpel

Turner Stevenson

Steven Rucchin

Mattias Norstrom

• Little Isle IV, LLC members Owen Nolan, Joe Juneau, Kristine Myrick, Ethan Moreau, Brad Lukowich, Dan Boyle have taken openly hostile positions against Mr. Philip Kenner.



CONSENT AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification

I. Donital Khristich	, acknowledge the foregoing seven (7) o E.R. 1.13 and 1.7, Arizona Rules of	
page letter and its written disclosures pursuant t	o E.R. 1.13 and 1.7, Arizona Rules of	
Professional Conduct. I hereby consent and agr	ee to the terms and conditions described therein,	
including, but not limited to: (1) Give up the rig		
representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine	or any of my other present or future clients in any	
transaction or litigation that might be adverse to	you; (2) Give up the right to assert that the	
Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary	or other attorney-client duty in connection with	
any transaction or litigation in the future; (3) Gi	ve up the right to bring suit against the	
Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in com		
	epresentation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little	
Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive		
violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Con		
1.7; (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other at		
transaction or litigation as described above; (6)		
	consequential harm resulting in any way from any	
	they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken,	
arguments made or any other procedural acts, e		
	V and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB.	
This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV; (7) Member		
understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to		
the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation		
Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is		
undersigned to accept this case. Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable; (8) Member agrees that		
	res may be used in lieu of original signatures and	
shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of I		
The state of the s	orced in accordance with the laws of the State of	
Arizona.		
Dated: 10-17-09 By	: Wille	
Dated. 10 17 09	Signature of Member	
	orginature of Memoor	
P	int name: Diwitzi Khristich	
Α.	ddragg: Krait Weisering	
A I	ddress: <u>Kier Ukraine</u> 2 Panasa Myrnogo #43 01011	
e.	mail: deemiche hotmail com	

Oct 23 09 03:46p

katie murray

310798-3345

p.1

BAKER & BAKER

Thomas M Baker P.L.C. Attorneys At Law BUILDING NUMBER 10 5050 NORTH EIGHTH PLACE PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85014

TELEPHONE (602) 279-1644 FACSIMILE (802) 263-9028

THOMAS M. BAKER

September 23, 2009

Re:

Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver

Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; Ula Makika, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;

Philip A. Kenner.

2:09-cv-00142-PHX-SRB (US District Court, District of Arizona)

Dear Member of Little Isle IV, LLC:

You are listed as a member in Little Isle Iv, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company. As you are aware, Mr. Philip Kenner has filed a lawsuit in Phoenix, Arizona against Mr. Kenneth A. Jowdy. Mr. Kenner filed this lawsuit on behalf of himself as an individual, and on behalf of Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. The case was initially filed in the Maricopa County Superior Court and subsequently removed by the Defendant to the United States District Court, District of Arizona, where it has been assigned to the Honorable Susan R. Bolton. The case number is CV09-014PHX-SRB. To date, Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC have been represented by Kevin R. Harper, HARPER LAW PLC and Jonathan A. Dessaules, DESSAULES LAW GROUP. Mr. Jowdy is represented by Brian C. Lake and James A. Ahlers at the law firm of PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. The gist of the lawsuit is to recover certain monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy by Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Mr. Kenner estimates the total amount of monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy which have not been repaid to be approximately \$5,000,000.00. This is the estimated principal only, exclusive of any accrued interest. In summary, Mr. Jowdy denies that the monies were loans but rather characterizes them as investments. Mr. Jody also asserts that even if the monies were loans, the Statute of Frauds (monies loaned/credit extended without a writing in excess of \$250,000.00; A.R.S. §44-101) and/or applicable Statute of Limitation (A.R.S. §12-543) precludes their recovery. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that the promissory note at issue contains his forged signature.

Recently, Messrs. Harper and Dessaules moved to withdraw as the attorneys of record for Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Consequently, Mr. Kenner desires to retain the undersigned to represent Himself, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC in these proceedings in place and stead of Messrs. Harper and Dessaules. In order for the undersigned to

Oct 23 09 03:47p

katie murray

310798-3345

p.2

September 23, 2009 Page 2

represent Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC, this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver has been prepared for you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned.

The Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, Rule 42, ER 1.13 and 1.7 state:

ER 1.13. Organization as Client

- (a) A lawyer employed or retained by an organization represents the organization acting through its duly authorized constituents.
- (b) If a lawyer for an organization knows that an officer, employee or other person associated with the organization is engaged in action, intends to act or refuses to act in a matter related to the representation that is a violation of a legal obligation to the organization, or a violation of law that reasonably might be imputed to the organization, and that is likely to result in substantial injury to the organization, the lawyer shall proceed as is reasonably necessary in the best interest of the organization. Unless the lawyer reasonably believes that it is not necessary in the best interest of the organization to do so, the lawyer shall refer the matter to higher authority in the organization, including, if warranted by the circumstances, to the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization as determined by applicable law.
- (c) Except as provided in paragraph (d), if
- (1) despite the lawyer's efforts in accordance with paragraph (b) the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization insists upon or fails to address in a timely and appropriate manner an action or refusal to act, that is clearly a violation of law, and
- (2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the violation is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the organization,

then the lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation whether or not Rule 1.6 permits such disclosure, but only if and to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to prevent substantial injury to the organization.

(d) Paragraph (c) shall not apply with respect to information relating to a lawyer's representation of an organization to investigate an alleged violation of law, or to defend the organization or an officer, employee or other constituent associated with the organization against a claim arising out of an alleged violation of law.

Oct 23 09 03:47p

katie murray

310798-3345

p.3

September 23, 2009 Page 3

- (e) A lawyer who reasonably believes that he or she has been discharged because of the lawyer's actions taken pursuant to paragraphs (b) or (c), or who withdraws under circumstances that require or permit the lawyer to take action under either of those paragraphs, shall proceed as the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to assure that the organization's highest authority is informed of the lawyer's discharge or withdrawal.
- (f) In dealing with an organization's directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, a lawyer shall explain the identity of the client when the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the organization's interests are adverse to those of the constituents with whom the lawyer is dealing.
- (g) A lawyer representing an organization may also represent any of its directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, subject to the provisions of ER 1.7. If the organization's consent to the dual representation is required by ER 1.7, the consent shall be given by an appropriate official of the organization other than the individual who is to be represented, or by the shareholders.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.13

ER 1.7. Conflict of Interest: Current Clients

- (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:
- (1) the representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or
- (2) there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by a personal interest of the lawyer.
- (b) Notwithstanding the existence of a concurrent conflict of interest under paragraph (a), a lawyer may represent a client if each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing. and:
- (1) the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client:

Oct 23 09 03:48p

katie murray

310798-3345

p.4

September 23, 2009 Page 4

- (2) the representation is not prohibited by law; and
- (3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.7

As you may also be aware, there is a plethora of ongoing litigation among individuals you may know. To the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, the following cases have been filed, are currently being litigated, or have been concluded:

- Owen and Diana Nolan v. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc., and Standard Advisors, LLC; American Arbitration Association; 76 148 Y 00223 08 DEAR (pending)
- Little Isle IV, LLC et. al. v. Kenneth A. Jowdy; United States District Court, District of Arizona; 2:09 -cv-00142-PHX-SRB (this case)
- Ethan Moreau v. Thomas Constantine et. al. w/counterclaim; United States District Court, District of Arizona; CV08-2271-PHX-NVW (on-going)
- Steven J. Hilton v. Constantine Management Group, LTD et. al., State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County; CV 2009-005336 (concluded)
- Philip Kenner v. Kristine Myrick w/counterclaim; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; BC 396805 (pending)
- Philip Kenner v. Kenneth Jowdy and Thomas Alton Harvey; Superior Court of the State of California Central District; BC 417057 (pending)
- Philip Kenner v. Nick James; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; YC 058700 (pending)
- Joe Juneau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na'
 Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii
 LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Escer Holding Corporation;
 Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; Mosses
 Gutman: Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Loring Ward Capital
 Management, Inc.; Does 1-10; Thomas Constantine: Constantine Management Ltd.,
 Moses Gutman; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western
 Division Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08284-CBM-PJW (pending)

Oct 23 09 03:48p

katie murray

310798-3345

p.5

September 23, 2009 Page 5

- Ethan Moreau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors. Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC;
 Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii
 LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja
 Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; AZ Eufora Parners II LLC; Code
 Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Does 1-10; United States District Court,
 Central District of California (Western Division Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08640-CBM-PJW (pending)
- Glen Murray v. Kenneth Jowdy; District Court, Nevada; A571987, Dept. XXII (pending)
- Greg deVries, Jason Woolley, Chris Simon, Mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov,
 <u>Jay McKee, Raymond Murray, Glen Murray, Bryan Berard, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri
 <u>Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy</u>, Superior Court of
 the State of California, County of Los Angeles Central District; (pending)
 </u>
- 12. Tyson Nash, Gred de Vries, Turner Stevenson, mattias Norstrom, Vladimir
 Tsyplakow, Bryan Berard, Steve Rucchin, Brian Campbell, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri
 Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jere Lehtinen, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy;
 Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles Central District;
 (pending)

Please take note that the Undersigned has previously represented Mr. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Mr. Tommy Constantine; and Constantine Management Group, LTD. These cases are numbered 1, 3 and 4 above. The undersigned does not warrant that the above 12 cases constitutes a complete listing of all court cases which could be considered as litigation relating to Mr. Philip Kenner; Little Isle IV, LLC, Ula Makika, LLC, Mr. Tommy Constantine and/or Constantine Management Group, Ltd. This list is simply the cases known to the Undersigned at the time this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver was drafted. Cases which were filed, are pending or have concluded in a United States District Court (including this case) can be monitored or reviewed through the court's electronic filing system (pacer). Cases which were filed are pending or have concluded in the State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County can be monitored or reviewed through that Court's electronic filing system (case history/minute entries). Your independent counsel should know how to do this or can assist you in finding out how to do so.

In this regard, the Undersigned has disclosed his past and ongoing representation of Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, in matters that are not currently adverse to you. These include defending lawsuits brought by Ethan Moreau, and Owen Nolan. However, given the nature of my relationship with Philip Kenner and Tommy Constantine, the Undersigned must preserve its ability to represent Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, on matters that may arise in

Oct 23 09 03:49p

katie murray

310798-3345

p.6

September 23, 2009 Page 6

the future, including matters adverse to you, provided that I would only undertake such representation of Phil Kenner or Tommy Constantine under circumstances in which I do not possess confidential information of yours relating to this transaction at issue.

The Undersigned understands that you consent to his representation of Philip Kenner, Tommy Constantine and my other clients under the circumstances described above.

Additionally, as a member of Little Isle IV and pursuant to ER 1.13 and 1.7 (as set forth above), I also ask you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver as it relates to representing Philip Kenner, individually, and as managing member of Little Isle IV; as well as Little Isle IV itself, in the US District Court, District of Arizona, proceedings captioned above.

Therefore, to summarize, you have agreed to:

(1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner,
Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction
or litigation that might be adverse to you;

(2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the

(3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above;

(4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7;

(5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any

such transaction or litigation as described above;

Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr.Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV;

(7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case.

Oct 23 09 03:49p

katie murray

310798-3345

p.7

September 23, 2009 Page 7

Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable;

(8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

I request that you signify your informed written consent to the above by signing the Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver set forth below and then returning this letter to me. A copy is also enclosed for your personal records. I encourage you first to seek the advice of independent legal counsel regarding this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver and I emphasize that you remain completely free to consult with independent legal counsel at any time even if you decide to sign this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Baker

TMB\tg Enclosure

Cc:

Jere Lehtinen Brian Campbell Bryan Berard Vladimir Tsyplakov Travis Green Jerry G. Glatt

Philip J. Stock Chris Simon Michael Peca Tyson Nash Dimitri Khristich Jason Woolley

Darryl Sydor Brad Isbister

Greg deVries Sergei Gonchar Glen Murray

Glen Murray Josef Stumpel

Turner Stevenson Steven Rucchin Mattias Norstrom

Titteen

• Little Isle IV, LLC members Owen Nolan, Joe Juneau, Kristine Myrick, Ethan Moreau, Brad Lukowich, Dan Boyle have taken openly hostile positions against Mr. Philip Kenner.

Oct 23 09 03:49p

katie murray

310798-3345

8.q

September 23, 2009 Page 8

CONSENT AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification Apy, acknowledge the foregoing seven (7) page letter and its written disclosures pursuant to E.R. 1.13 and 1.7, Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct. I hereby consent and agree to the terms and conditions described therein, including, but not limited to: (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you; (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future; (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above; (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7; (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above; (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr.Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV; (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case. Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable; (8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona. Dated: Signature of Member Address:

THOMAS M. BAKER

Thomas M Baker P.L.C. Attorneys At Law BUILDING NUMBER 10 5050 NORTH EIGHTH PLACE PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85014

TELEPHONE (602) 279-1644 FACSIMILE (602) 263-9028

September 23, 2009

Re: Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver

Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; Ula Makika, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;

Philip A. Kenner.

2:09-cv-00142-PHX-SRB (US District Court, District of Arizona)

Dear Member of Little Isle IV, LLC:

You are listed as a member in Little Isle Iv, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company. As you are aware, Mr. Philip Kenner has filed a lawsuit in Phoenix, Arizona against Mr. Kenneth A. Jowdy. Mr. Kenner filed this lawsuit on behalf of himself as an individual, and on behalf of Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. The case was initially filed in the Maricopa County Superior Court and subsequently removed by the Defendant to the United States District Court, District of Arizona, where it has been assigned to the Honorable Susan R. Bolton. The case number is CV09-014PHX-SRB. To date, Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC have been represented by Kevin R. Harper, HARPER LAW PLC and Jonathan A. Dessaules, DESSAULES LAW GROUP. Mr. Jowdy is represented by Brian C. Lake and James A. Ahlers at the law firm of PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. The gist of the lawsuit is to recover certain monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy by Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Mr. Kenner estimates the total amount of monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy which have not been repaid to be approximately \$5,000,000.00. This is the estimated principal only, exclusive of any accrued interest. In summary, Mr. Jowdy denies that the monies were loans but rather characterizes them as investments. Mr. Jody also asserts that even if the monies were loans, the Statute of Frauds (monies loaned/credit extended without a writing in excess of \$250,000.00; A.R.S. §44-101) and/or applicable Statute of Limitation (A.R.S. §12-543) precludes their recovery. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that the promissory note at issue contains his forged signature.

Recently, Messrs. Harper and Dessaules moved to withdraw as the attorneys of record for Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Consequently, Mr. Kenner desires to retain the undersigned to represent Himself, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC in these proceedings in place and stead of Messrs. Harper and Dessaules. In order for the undersigned to

represent Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC, this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver has been prepared for you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned.

The Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, Rule 42, ER 1.13 and 1.7 state:

ER 1.13. Organization as Client

- (a) A lawyer employed or retained by an organization represents the organization acting through its duly authorized constituents.
- (b) If a lawyer for an organization knows that an officer, employee or other person associated with the organization is engaged in action, intends to act or refuses to act in a matter related to the representation that is a violation of a legal obligation to the organization, or a violation of law that reasonably might be imputed to the organization, and that is likely to result in substantial injury to the organization, the lawyer shall proceed as is reasonably necessary in the best interest of the organization. Unless the lawyer reasonably believes that it is not necessary in the best interest of the organization to do so, the lawyer shall refer the matter to higher authority in the organization, including, if warranted by the circumstances, to the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization as determined by applicable law.
- (c) Except as provided in paragraph (d), if
- (1) despite the lawyer's efforts in accordance with paragraph (b) the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization insists upon or fails to address in a timely and appropriate manner an action or refusal to act, that is clearly a violation of law, and
- (2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the violation is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the organization,

then the lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation whether or not Rule 1.6 permits such disclosure, but only if and to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to prevent substantial injury to the organization.

(d) Paragraph (c) shall not apply with respect to information relating to a lawyer's representation of an organization to investigate an alleged violation of law, or to defend the organization or an officer, employee or other constituent associated with the organization against a claim arising out of an alleged violation of law.

- (e) A lawyer who reasonably believes that he or she has been discharged because of the lawyer's actions taken pursuant to paragraphs (b) or (c), or who withdraws under circumstances that require or permit the lawyer to take action under either of those paragraphs, shall proceed as the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to assure that the organization's highest authority is informed of the lawyer's discharge or withdrawal.
- (f) In dealing with an organization's directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, a lawyer shall explain the identity of the client when the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the organization's interests are adverse to those of the constituents with whom the lawyer is dealing.
- (g) A lawyer representing an organization may also represent any of its directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, subject to the provisions of ER 1.7. If the organization's consent to the dual representation is required by ER 1.7, the consent shall be given by an appropriate official of the organization other than the individual who is to be represented, or by the shareholders.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.13

ER 1.7. Conflict of Interest: Current Clients

- (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:
- (1) the representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or
- (2) there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by a personal interest of the lawyer.
- (b) Notwithstanding the existence of a concurrent conflict of interest under paragraph (a), a lawyer may represent a client if each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing. and:
- (1) the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client:

- (2) the representation is not prohibited by law; and
- (3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.7

As you may also be aware, there is a plethora of ongoing litigation among individuals you may know. To the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, the following cases have been filed, are currently being litigated, or have been concluded:

- Owen and Diana Nolan v. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc., and Standard Advisors, LLC; American Arbitration Association; 76 148 Y 00223 08 DEAR (pending)
- 2. <u>Little Isle IV, LLC et. al. v. Kenneth A. Jowdy:</u> United States District Court, District of Arizona; 2:09 -cv-00142-PHX-SRB (this case)
- 3. <u>Ethan Moreau v. Thomas Constantine et. al. w/counterclaim;</u> United States District Court, District of Arizona; CV08-2271-PHX-NVW (on-going)
- Steven J. Hilton v. Constantine Management Group, LTD et. al., State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County; CV 2009-005336 (concluded)
- 5. <u>Philip Kenner v. Kristine Myrick w/counterclaim;</u> Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; BC 396805 (pending)
- 6. <u>Philip Kenner v. Kenneth Jowdy and Thomas Alton Harvey;</u> Superior Court of the State of California Central District; BC 417057 (pending)
- 7. <u>Philip Kenner v. Nick James</u>; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; YC 058700 (pending)
- 8. Joe Juneau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Escer Holding Corporation; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; Mosses Gutman; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Loring Ward Capital Management, Inc.; Does 1-10; Thomas Constantine; Constantine Management Ltd., Moses Gutman; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08284-CBM-PJW (pending)

- 9. Ethan Moreau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; AZ Eufora Parners II LLC; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Does 1-10; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08640-CBM-PJW (pending)
- 10. <u>Glen Murray v. Kenneth Jowdy;</u> District Court, Nevada; A571987, Dept. XXII (pending)
- 11. <u>Greg de Vries, Jason Woolley, Chris Simon, Mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov, Jay McKee, Raymond Murray, Glen Murray, Bryan Berard, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles Central District; (pending)</u>
- 12. Tyson Nash, Gred de Vries, Turner Stevenson, mattias Norstrom, Vladimir
 Tsyplakow, Bryan Berard, Steve Rucchin, Brian Campbell, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri
 Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jere Lehtinen, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy;
 Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles Central District;
 (pending)

Please take note that the Undersigned has previously represented Mr. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Mr. Tommy Constantine; and Constantine Management Group, LTD. These cases are numbered 1, 3 and 4 above. The undersigned does not warrant that the above 12 cases constitutes a complete listing of all court cases which could be considered as litigation relating to Mr. Philip Kenner; Little Isle IV, LLC, Ula Makika, LLC, Mr. Tommy Constantine and/or Constantine Management Group, Ltd. This list is simply the cases known to the Undersigned at the time this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver was drafted. Cases which were filed, are pending or have concluded in a United States District Court (including this case) can be monitored or reviewed through the court's electronic filing system (pacer). Cases which were filed are pending or have concluded in the State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County can be monitored or reviewed through that Court's electronic filing system (case history/minute entries). Your independent counsel should know how to do this or can assist you in finding out how to do so.

In this regard, the Undersigned has disclosed his past and ongoing representation of Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, in matters that are not currently adverse to you. These include defending lawsuits brought by Ethan Moreau, and Owen Nolan. However, given the nature of my relationship with Philip Kenner and Tommy Constantine, the Undersigned must preserve its ability to represent Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, on matters that may arise in

the future, including matters adverse to you, provided that I would only undertake such representation of Phil Kenner or Tommy Constantine under circumstances in which I do not possess confidential information of yours relating to this transaction at issue.

The Undersigned understands that you consent to his representation of Philip Kenner, Tommy Constantine and my other clients under the circumstances described above.

Additionally, as a member of Little Isle IV and pursuant to ER 1.13 and 1.7 (as set forth above), I also ask you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver as it relates to representing Philip Kenner, individually, and as managing member of Little Isle IV; as well as Little Isle IV itself, in the US District Court, District of Arizona, proceedings captioned above.

Therefore, to summarize, you have agreed to:

- (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you;
- (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future;
- (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above;
- (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7;
- (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above;
- (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr.Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV;
- (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case.

• September 23, 2009

Page 7

Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable;

(8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

I request that you signify your informed written consent to the above by signing the Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver set forth below and then returning this letter to me. A copy is also enclosed for your personal records. I encourage you first to seek the advice of independent legal counsel regarding this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver and I emphasize that you remain completely free to consult with independent legal counsel at any time even if you decide to sign this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Baker

TMB\tg Enclosure

Cc:

Jere Lehtinen
Brian Campbell
Bryan Berard
Vladimir Tsyplakov
Travis Green
Jerry G. Glatt
Philip J. Stock
Chris Simon
Michael Peca

Jason Woolley
Darryl Sydor
Brad Isbister
Greg deVries
Sergei Gonchar
Glen Murray
Josef Stumpel
Turner Stevenson
Steven Rucchin

Tyson Nash Mattias Norstrom
Dimitri Khristich

• Little Isle IV, LLC members Owen Nolan, Joe Juneau, Kristine Myrick, Ethan Moreau, Brad Lukowich, Dan Boyle have taken openly hostile positions against Mr. Philip Kenner.

CONSENT AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification

, acknowledge the foregoing seven (7) page letter and its written disclosures pursuant to E.R. 1.13 and 1.7, Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct. I hereby consent and agree to the terms and conditions described therein, including, but not limited to: (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you; (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future; (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above; (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7; (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above; (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr.Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV; (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case. Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable; (8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

By:

BAKER & BAKER

Thomas M Baker P.L.C. Attorneys At Law BUILDING NUMBER 10 5050 NORTH EIGHTH PLACE PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85014

TELEPHONE (602) 279-1644 FACSIMILE (602) 263-9028

THOMAS M. BAKER

September 23, 2009

Re: <u>Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver</u>
Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;
Ula Makika, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;
Philip A. Kenner.

2:09-cv-00142-PHX-SRB (US District Court, District of Arizona)

Dear Member of Little Isle IV, LLC:

You are listed as a member in Little Isle Iv, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company. As you are aware, Mr. Philip Kenner has filed a lawsuit in Phoenix, Arizona against Mr. Kenneth A. Jowdy. Mr. Kenner filed this lawsuit on behalf of himself as an individual, and on behalf of Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. The case was initially filed in the Maricopa County Superior Court and subsequently removed by the Defendant to the United States District Court, District of Arizona, where it has been assigned to the Honorable Susan R. Bolton. The case number is CV09-014PHX-SRB. To date, Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC have been represented by Kevin R. Harper, HARPER LAW PLC and Jonathan A. Dessaules, DESSAULES LAW GROUP. Mr. Jowdy is represented by Brian C. Lake and James A. Ahlers at the law firm of PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. The gist of the lawsuit is to recover certain monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy by Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Mr. Kenner estimates the total amount of monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy which have not been repaid to be approximately \$5,000,000.00. This is the estimated principal only, exclusive of any accrued interest. In summary, Mr. Jowdy denies that the monies were loans but rather characterizes them as investments. Mr. Jody also asserts that even if the monies were loans, the Statute of Frauds (monies loaned/credit extended without a writing in excess of \$250,000.00; A.R.S. §44-101) and/or applicable Statute of Limitation (A.R.S. §12-543) precludes their recovery. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that the promissory note at issue contains his forged signature.

Recently, Messrs. Harper and Dessaules moved to withdraw as the attorneys of record for Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Consequently, Mr. Kenner desires to retain the undersigned to represent Himself, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC in these proceedings in place and stead of Messrs. Harper and Dessaules. In order for the undersigned to

represent Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC, this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver has been prepared for you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned.

The Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, Rule 42, ER 1.13 and 1.7 state:

ER 1.13. Organization as Client

- (a) A lawyer employed or retained by an organization represents the organization acting through its duly authorized constituents.
- (b) If a lawyer for an organization knows that an officer, employee or other person associated with the organization is engaged in action, intends to act or refuses to act in a matter related to the representation that is a violation of a legal obligation to the organization, or a violation of law that reasonably might be imputed to the organization, and that is likely to result in substantial injury to the organization, the lawyer shall proceed as is reasonably necessary in the best interest of the organization. Unless the lawyer reasonably believes that it is not necessary in the best interest of the organization to do so, the lawyer shall refer the matter to higher authority in the organization, including, if warranted by the circumstances, to the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization as determined by applicable law.
- (c) Except as provided in paragraph (d), if
- (1) despite the lawyer's efforts in accordance with paragraph (b) the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization insists upon or fails to address in a timely and appropriate manner an action or refusal to act, that is clearly a violation of law, and
- (2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the violation is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the organization,

then the lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation whether or not Rule 1.6 permits such disclosure, but only if and to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to prevent substantial injury to the organization.

(d) Paragraph (c) shall not apply with respect to information relating to a lawyer's representation of an organization to investigate an alleged violation of law, or to defend the organization or an officer, employee or other constituent associated with the organization against a claim arising out of an alleged violation of law.

- (e) A lawyer who reasonably believes that he or she has been discharged because of the lawyer's actions taken pursuant to paragraphs (b) or (c), or who withdraws under circumstances that require or permit the lawyer to take action under either of those paragraphs, shall proceed as the lawyer reasonably believes necessary to assure that the organization's highest authority is informed of the lawyer's discharge or withdrawal.
- (f) In dealing with an organization's directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, a lawyer shall explain the identity of the client when the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the organization's interests are adverse to those of the constituents with whom the lawyer is dealing.
- (g) A lawyer representing an organization may also represent any of its directors, officers, employees, members, shareholders or other constituents, subject to the provisions of ER 1.7. If the organization's consent to the dual representation is required by ER 1.7, the consent shall be given by an appropriate official of the organization other than the individual who is to be represented, or by the shareholders.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.13

ER 1.7. Conflict of Interest: Current Clients

- (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:
- (1) the representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or
- (2) there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by a personal interest of the lawyer.
- (b) Notwithstanding the existence of a concurrent conflict of interest under paragraph (a), a lawyer may represent a client if each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing, and:
- (1) the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client:

- (2) the representation is not prohibited by law; and
- (3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal.

17A, A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 42, Rules of Prof.Conduct, ER 1.7

As you may also be aware, there is a plethora of ongoing litigation among individuals you may know. To the best of the Undersigned's knowledge, the following cases have been filed, are currently being litigated, or have been concluded:

- Owen and Diana Nolan v. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc., and Standard Advisors, LLC; American Arbitration Association; 76 148 Y 00223 08 DEAR (pending)
- Little Isle IV, LLC et. al. v. Kenneth A. Jowdy; United States District Court, District of Arizona; 2:09 -cv-00142-PHX-SRB (this case)
- 3. Ethan Moreau v. Thomas Constantine et. al. w/counterclaim; United States District Court, District of Arizona; CV08-2271-PHX-NVW (on-going)
- Steven J. Hilton y. Constantine Management Group, LTD et. al., State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County; CV 2009-005336 (concluded)
- 5. Philip Kenner v. Kristine Myrick w/counterclaim; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; BC 396805 (pending)
- Philip Kenner v. Kenneth Jowdy and Thomas Alton Harvey; Superior Court of the State of California Central District; BC 417057 (pending)
- Philip Kenner v. Nick James; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles; YC 058700 (pending)
- 8. Joe Juneau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle IV, LLC; Escer Holding Corporation; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; Mosses Gutman; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Loring Ward Capital Management, Inc.; Does 1-10; Thomas Constantine; Constantine Management Ltd., Moses Gutman; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08284-CBM-PJW (pending)

- 9. Ethan Moreau v. Philip Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.: Standard Advisors, LLC; Na' Alehu Ventures 2006, LLC; WWK Hawaii Holdings, LLC; Windwalker Hawaii LLC; JN Develop LLC; Bill Najam; Little Isle TV, LLC; Kenneth A. Jowdy; Baja Development Corporation; Baja Management LLC; AZ Eufora Parners II LLC; Code Fire Acquisition Corporation; John Ward; Does 1-10; United States District Court, Central District of California (Western Division Los Angeles); 2:08-cv-08640-CBM-PJW (pending)
- 10. <u>Glen Murray v. Kenneth Jowdy;</u> District Court, Nevada; A571987, Dept. XXII (pending)
- 11. Greg deVries, Jason Woolley, Chris Simon, Mattias Norstrom, Vladimir Tsyplakov, Jay McKee, Raymond Murray, Glen Murray, Bryan Berard, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles Central District; (pending)
- 12. Tyson Nash, Gred deVrles, Turner Stevenson, mattias Norstrom, Vladimir
 Tsyplakow, Bryan Berard, Steve Rucchin, Brian Campbell, Darryl Sydor, Dimitri
 Khristich, Sergei Gonchar, Michael Peca, Jere Lehtinen, Jozef Stumpel v. Jowdy;
 Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles Central District;
 (pending)

Please take note that the Undersigned has previously represented Mr. Philip Kenner, Standard Advisors, Inc.; Standard Advisors, LLC; Mr. Tommy Constantine; and Constantine Management Group, LTD. These cases are numbered 1, 3 and 4 above. The undersigned does not warrant that the above 12 cases constitutes a complete listing of all court cases which could be considered as litigation relating to Mr. Philip Kenner; Little Isle IV, LLC, Ula Makika, LLC, Mr. Tommy Constantine and/or Constantine Management Group, Ltd. This list is simply the cases known to the Undersigned at the time this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver was drafted. Cases which were filed, are pending or have concluded in a United States District Court (including this case) can be monitored or reviewed through the court's electronic filing system (pacer). Cases which were filed are pending or have concluded in the State of Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County can be monitored or reviewed through that Court's electronic filing system (case history/minute entries). Your independent counsel should know how to do this or can assist you in finding out how to do so.

In this regard, the Undersigned has disclosed his past and ongoing representation of Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, in matters that are not currently adverse to you. These include defending lawsuits brought by Ethan Moreau, and Owen Nolan. However, given the nature of my relationship with Philip Kenner and Tommy Constantine, the Undersigned must preserve its ability to represent Phil Kenner; Standard Advisors, Inc.; and Standard Advisors, LLC.; Tommy Constantine; Constantine Management Group, Ltd, on matters that may arise in

the future, including matters adverse to you, provided that I would only undertake such representation of Phil Kenner or Tommy Constantine under circumstances in which I do not possess confidential information of yours relating to this transaction at issue.

The Undersigned understands that you consent to his representation of Philip Kenner, Tommy Constantine and my other clients under the circumstances described above.

Additionally, as a member of Little Isle IV and pursuant to ER 1.13 and 1.7 (as set forth above), I also ask you to read, review with an independent attorney of your choice and, if in agreement, to sign and return to the undersigned this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver as it relates to representing Philip Kenner, individually, and as managing member of Little Isle IV; as well as Little Isle IV itself, in the US District Court, District of Arizona, proceedings captioned above.

Therefore, to summarize, you have agreed to:

- (1) Give up the right to disqualify the Undersigned from representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine or any of my other present or future clients in any transaction or litigation that might be adverse to you;
- (2) Give up the right to assert that the Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future:
- (3) Give up the right to bring suit against the Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in connection with any transaction or litigation in the future as described above;
- (4) Consent to dual representation by attorney of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive the right to assert any conflict of interest in violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, including, but not limited to, ER 1.13 and 1.7;
- (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other attorney-client duty in connection with any such transaction or litigation as described above;
- (6) Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm responsible or liable for any direct, indirect or consequential harm resulting in any way from any act, error, or omission of the former counsel as they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken, arguments made or any other procedural acts, errors or omissions relating to their prior representation of Mr.Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHX-SRB. This specifically includes you as an individual and as a member of Little Isle IV;
- (7) Member understands and accepts that the undersigned will only agree to accept this case if he consents to the terms and conditions set forth within this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver. Members' consent is a material inducement and requisite for the undersigned to accept this case.

Therefore, members agree that the terms of this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver are irrevocable;

(8) Member agrees that faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signatures may be used in lieu of original signatures and shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver shall be construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona.

I request that you signify your informed written consent to the above by signing the Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver set forth below and then returning this letter to me. A copy is also enclosed for your personal records. I encourage you first to seek the advice of independent legal counsel regarding this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver and I emphasize that you remain completely free to consult with independent legal counsel at any time even if you decide to sign this Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Baker

TMB\tg Enclosure

Cc:

Jere Lehtinen
Brian Campbell
Bryan Berard
Vladimir Tsyplakov
Travis Green
Jerry G. Glatt
Philip J. Stock
Chris Simon
Michael Peca
Tyson Nash
Dirnitri Khristich

Darryl Sydor Brad Isbister Greg deVries Sergei Gonchar Glen Murray Josef Stumpel Turner Stevenson Steven Rucchin Mattias Norstrom

Jason Woolley

 Little Isle IV, LLC members Owen Nolan, Joe Juneau, Kristine Myrick, Ethan Moreau, Brad Lukowich, Dan Boyle have taken openly hostile positions against Mr. Philip Kenner.

CONSENT AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification

1,	, acknowledge the foregoing seven (7)
page letter and its written disclosures pursuant to	E.R. 1.13 and 1.7, Arizona Rules of
Professional Conduct. Thereby consent and agree	e to the terms and conditions described therein,
including but not limited to: (1) Give up the righ	it to disquality the Undersigned from
representing Phil Kenner, Tommy Constantine o	r any of my other present or niture chents in any
transaction or litigation that might be adverse to	you; (2) Give up the right to assert that the
Undersigned owes, or owed you, any fiduciary o	or other attorney-client duty in connection with
any transaction or litigation in the future; (3) Given	ye up the right to bring suit against the
Undersigned (or any employees thereof) in conn	ection with any transaction of hillip Kenner I ittle
future as described above; (4) Consent to dual re	the sight to assert the conflict of interest in
Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC; and, waive violation of Arizona Rules of Professional Cond	dust including but not limited to ER 1.13 and
1.7; (5) Waive any alleged fiduciary or other att	orney-client duty in connection with any such
transaction or litigation as described above; (6) I	Not to hold the undersigned or his law firm
ransaction of hitgation as described doors, (o)	onsequential harm resulting in any way from any
act error or omission of the former counsel as	they relate to the pleadings filed, positions taken,
armments made or any other procedural acts, en	rrors or omissions relating to their prior
representation of Mr. Philip Kenner, Little Isle I	V and Ula Makika in 2:09-CV-00142-PHA-5KB.
This execifically includes you as an individual a	and as a member of Little Isle IV; (/) Member
understands and accepts that the undersigned w	ill only agree to accept this case if he consents to
the terms and conditions set forth within this Co	onflict of Interest/Dual Representation
Notification and Waiver, Members' consent is	a material inducement and requisite for the
undersigned to accept this case. Therefore, men	mbers agree that the terms of this Conflict of
Interest/Dual Representation Notification and V	Valver are irrevocable; (8) Member agrees that
faxed copies, photocopies or electronic signature	res may be used in lieu of original signatures and
shall be deemed an original. This Conflict of It	orerest Dual Representation Notification and
	rced in accordance with the laws of the State of
Arizona.	
Dated: /0/14/09 By	· / as leally
Dated: /0/14/09 By	Signature of Member
Pı	rint name: Jason Woolley
A	ddress: 30193 cheviot Hills drive
- -	tranklin Mitchigan 78023
e-	mail: Jason Woolky e mae.com
	On
	Member's initials

BAKER & BAKER

THOMAS M. BAKER

Thomas M Baker P.L.C. Attorneys At Law BUILDING NUMBER 10 5050 NORTH EIGHTH PLACE PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85014

TELEPHONE (602) 279-1644 FACSIMILE (602) 263-9028

September 23, 2009

Re: Conflict of Interest/Dual Representation Notification and Waiver

Little Isle IV, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; Ula Makika, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;

Philip A. Kenner.

2:09-cv-00142-PHX-SRB (US District Court, District of Arizona)

Dear Member of Little Isle IV, LLC:

You are listed as a member in Little Isle Iv, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company. As you are aware, Mr. Philip Kenner has filed a lawsuit in Phoenix, Arizona against Mr. Kenneth A. Jowdy. Mr. Kenner filed this lawsuit on behalf of himself as an individual, and on behalf of Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. The case was initially filed in the Maricopa County Superior Court and subsequently removed by the Defendant to the United States District Court, District of Arizona, where it has been assigned to the Honorable Susan R. Bolton. The case number is CV09-014PHX-SRB. To date, Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC have been represented by Kevin R. Harper, HARPER LAW PLC and Jonathan A. Dessaules, DESSAULES LAW GROUP. Mr. Jowdy is represented by Brian C. Lake and James A. Ahlers at the law firm of PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. The gist of the lawsuit is to recover certain monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy by Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Mr. Kenner estimates the total amount of monies loaned to Mr. Jowdy which have not been repaid to be approximately \$5,000,000.00. This is the estimated principal only. exclusive of any accrued interest. In summary, Mr. Jowdy denies that the monies were loans but rather characterizes them as investments. Mr. Jody also asserts that even if the monies were loans, the Statute of Frauds (monies loaned/credit extended without a writing in excess of \$250,000.00; A.R.S. §44-101) and/or applicable Statute of Limitation (A.R.S. §12-543) precludes their recovery. Mr. Jowdy also asserts that the promissory note at issue contains his forged signature.

Recently, Messrs. Harper and Dessaules moved to withdraw as the attorneys of record for Mr. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC. Consequently, Mr. Kenner desires to retain the undersigned to represent Himself, Little Isle IV, LLC and Ula Makika, LLC in these proceedings in place and stead of Messrs. Harper and Dessaules. In order for the undersigned to